

Minutes of the Dec 1, 2020 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

Please refer to your meeting invitation for the zoom password.

Attendees:

Fujitsu: **Kenji Kazamura**

IBM: **Dan Bandera, Kevin Sutter, Neil Patterson**

Oracle: **Will Lyons, Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov**

Payara: **Eliot Martin**

Red Hat: **Mark Little, John Clingan, Scott Stark**

Tomitribe: **Cesar Hernandez, David Blevins**

Enterprise Member representative: Not present

Participant member representative: Not present

Committer member representative: Not present

(Quorum is 5 -- simple-majority or one-half of the members (if even number) must be present)

Eclipse: **Ivar Grimstad, Paul Buck, Tanja Obradovic, Paul White, Mike Milinkovich**

Review of Minutes from Prior Meetings

Minutes of the November 24 meeting were approved.

Jakarta EE 9 Spec Project Status

- Spec status summary
 - https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCueR03sQJjWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0
- Nov 24 update - from email from Kevin on Nov 22
 - Over the weekend, we were able to finalize the last tidbits on the Jakarta EE 9 Release! Congratulations and Thank You!
Platform Spec: <https://jakarta.ee/specifications/platform/9/>
Web Profile Spec: <https://jakarta.ee/specifications/webprofile/9/>
Jakarta EE Specs Home: <https://jakarta.ee/specifications/>
Glassfish v6-RC2:
<https://www.eclipse.org/downloads/download.php?file=/ee4j/glassfish/glassfish-6.0.0-RC2.zip>
 - Some APIs not yet been released in Maven Central -> some implementations are blocked. Dmitry will send list of APIs to spec committee email.
- Dec 1 update
 - Resolution on APIs in Maven Central and implementations is still open and being worked.

Eclipse GlassFish 6.0 Status - Steve Millidge/Eliot Martin

- Project board for GlassFish <https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/projects/1>
- TCK issues:
<https://github.com/eclipse-ee4j/glassfish/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3Aee9-tck>
- Dec 1 update
 - The final release is affected by issues noted above.

Jakarta EE 9.1

- Email from Kevin on Nov 22

Now that Jakarta EE 9 is in the bag, we need to provide immediate focus on Jakarta EE 9.1. I have started a Google Doc with some ideas relating to Jakarta EE 9.1. This is not a "Release Plan", per se. That will come later. These are some of the collected thoughts about the content for Jakarta EE 9.1. I want to distribute them to a wider audience looking for input and participation. The latter piece (participation) is critical. The core requirement for this Jakarta EE 9.1 release is support for Java SE 11. If there is any "feature creep" then we will need individuals and teams to sign up to deliver the requested additions in a timely manner.

All of you should have Commenting capabilities with this link. Some of you on the Spec Committee will have Edit authority -- but, please use Suggestion mode so that we can track the requested updates. Thanks for your input!

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1yFfD0ezjr1QFM3onGK76E3RhItN5FFEfHz12VA5wGYQ/edit?usp=sharing>

Or, you can reply directly to this email thread. Thanks!

I am out this next week for the Thanksgiving Holiday. The Platform calls in December will most likely focus on this content. I want to clean up these Jakarta EE 9.1 requirements in early December and produce 9.1 Release Plan before we leave for the Christmas Holidays.

- Validate across the team that this is our Jakarta EE 9.1 message Livestream next week
- No action required from Steering Committee at this time.
 - Spec Committee will review and approve when the plan is ready

2021 Program Plan and Budget

- **Background and References**
 - **Background**
 - 1) Dates
 - Nov 4 - draft plan (complete)

- Nov 18 - budget (complete)

[Jakarta EE Working Group 2021 Budget - Nov 17 2020.pdf](#)

[Jakarta EE Working Group 2021 Budget - Nov 17 2020 Human Readable](#)

- Dec 3 - revised plan and budget

2) Plan is intended to define our priorities, and represents an agreement on what we work towards.

3) Plan (and budget) is by majority vote. The plan and budget can be changed.

4) Steering Committee does not have to drive details of all decisions. Marketing, spec committees and other program teams can drive decisions within their scope, perhaps more efficiently.

○ **References**

- See pdf of [2021 Working Group Program Plan Process](#)
- Original [Draft Proposal 2021 Jakarta EE Program Plan](#) in this folder:
 - https://drive.google.com/drive/u/0/folders/1_TobVjm4SF69rrSrQ8LbPwl9CXhmMtha
- Revised plan for review in Nov 3 meeting:
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/18KRbGwdCwVImm19z2myo9QDAQnzpbaid9K_CSHaCYDs/edit#slide=id.g9591dbaa17_0_0
- Revised plan for review in Nov 10 meeting
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1GLcjVvMrQTMHTgcAMijKp-IgidRmzxQAjNpWKEg5ek/edit#slide=id.ga4af08b537_4_0
- Revised plan for review in Nov 24 meeting
 - https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1T6ulhM-1f7v3vUW_OBQdks0BXYGmj8cdSDEdviqqzc/edit#slide=id.g9591dbaa17_0_0

● **Final plan for review/approval in Dec 1 meeting**

- https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/12VBsT8D76E-BySdqYuAbxVXIX-8qWhkMM-UzjPM10Wg/edit#slide=id.gae9757cb85_0_0
- Some additional changes were suggested for the final Program Plan. The most significant was that a slide 5 “Advance Implementation Neutrality” was included.
- The following resolution was proposed and seconded:
 - “Resolved, the proposed Jakarta EE Working Group 2021 Program Plan is approved”.
- Vote

Fujitsu: Yes

IBM: Yes
Oracle: Yes
Payara: **Yes**
Red Hat: **Yes**
Tomitribe: **Yes**
Enterprise Member representative: Not present
Participant member representative: Not present
Committer member representative: Not present

The program plan is approved.

Question on Vendor Case Studies

- Neil prepared a presentation to discuss
 - Presentation can be found at this [link](#)
- The consensus was that the customer/end user case study concept was applied in an unintended manner to deliver a Payara vendor case study. There was general agreement that the Marketing Committee should seek to balance vendor-specific promotion going forward by delivering true end user case studies that reference implementations from other Working Group members. Working Group members who wish to participate in such end user case studies should suggest specific end user case study examples.

JakartaOne Livestream

- Currently 615 registered, help with promotion of the event would be greatly appreciated
- Program is created and published, and we have short talks from 5 vendors
 - Oracle, Payara, Tomitribe, Fujitsu, IBM
- https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1jknN2BxqJHvnknpMbEZj_zmCaoxMIRjzhXHO7Gn-LLE/edit#gid=0
- Notices on preparation required were sent out to presenters this week

CN4J Alliance (no time for discussion)

- CN4J Alliance doc draft from Sept 8:
https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1tqHnuvTkES1jIV5tDBezrrKRSTzA_bv21poO8rjyN4Q/edit?ts=5f11fa24#slide=id.g8d80e7e2aa_0_16
- See Scott Stark's email from Nov 24 updating this presentation
- Is there consensus on:
 - Creating such an "alliance"
 - The name of the alliance
 - The general purposes of the Alliance as described in Scott's deck
- Suggested next steps to generate discussion

- Open up doc for comment and edit
- Draft resolution for discussion by both MPWG and Jakarta EE WG on forming the alliance
- Identify specific technical next step(s), e.g. one of the following:
 - How Jakarta consumes MicroProfile specifications
 - How MicroProfile consumes Jakarta specifications
 - Need for new profiles that better align MicroProfile with Jakarta
 - How lifecycle differences are dealt with
 - How backwards compatibility issues are defined and marketed
- Identify marketing next step(s), e.g. one of the following
 - Discuss at Jakarta One Livestream?
 - Formalize brand
 - Messaging for CN4J
 - Announcement or rollout plan

Marketing Committee Update and Jakarta EE Update Calls (not discussed)

Jakarta EE Roadmap/Release Cadence - Ivar Grimstad (being discussed by Program team)

Tooling (not discussed)