

Minutes of May 14 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura (NP) (**Mike DeNicola**)

IBM: **Dan Bandera (Ian Robinson, Kevin Sutter)**

Oracle: **Ed Bratt (Bill Shannon)**

Payara: Steve Millidge (NP)

Red Hat: **Scott Stark (John Clingan)**

Tomitribe: David Blevins (NP) (**Richard Monson-Haefel Jonathan Galimore**)

Martijn Verburg (NP)

Ivar Grimstad (NP)

Eclipse: Paul White (Wayne Beaton)

Quorum is achieved (half +1).

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Meeting minutes are being posted again to the following location:

https://jakarta.ee/meeting_minutes/

Review minutes of the [April 30 meeting](#). Without objection, the minutes of April 30 were approved.

Minutes of the May 7 meeting will be reviewed next time.

Oracle and Eclipse Agreement and Announcement

Consistent with last week's meeting:

- The Java EE 8 Message was published:
<https://blogs.eclipse.org/post/mike-milinkovich/frequently-asked-questions-about-jakarta-ee-8>
- The Jakarta EE Community Hangout meeting was held where a summary of the document on "Transitioning Jakarta EE to the jakarta namespace":
<https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakartaee-platform-dev/msg00029.html>
...was reviewed. Folder with agenda minutes, pics, and slides:
https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1IDSQpgC_w_EfGC3ccvDH_D13rZgJ2YsB

We should discuss/recap how each of these documents and events has been received and what follow-up actions are required of this group. Assuming most of the action steps are

related to the Jakarta EE 8 release and Managing the Jakarta EE vNext Discussion, it may make sense to capture the follow-up actions in those sections of the minutes (immediately below).

Discussion:

Richard -- Positive in generating lots of responses. Reactions from the community seem to favor the “big-bang” conversion approach. Dan suggests a distillation into alternatives and their consequences. Need to try and convey the alternatives more completely. David’s “wiki” is being used. PRs are submitted and being folded into the summary. Spec. committee is taking the lead for distilling and then coming up with a community consensus.

Paul suggests -- how do we work to a June 9 deadline? Leave it to the Spec. committee. Ian suggests that further consideration for Microprofile may be worth input or consideration by the Spec. committee. Scott suggests that the decision is much more nuanced/complex than originally proposed as a binary between a big-bang conversion and an incremental (spec. by spec.) conversion approach.

Jakarta EE 8 Release

The scope of the release has been agreed to as described in the following document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdlvRuHXQXpwQus/edit>

The “Next Steps” document provides an overview of the current plan:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-ldJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0

The following Google doc is being updated:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmpvVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349>

Ed has drafted the following which was referenced in last week’s meeting. We should review the document:

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ZtVZBLY2Q-zze0ftF0T0_7i0OlvhOVEkDTcBml2mG3E/edit?usp=sharing

The following have also been distributed:

- From Wayne B: “Restructuring Review Planning” (Spec Projects):
https://docs.google.com/document/d/1EewLB5S0VSDGtGJVvWPTVKRi_DyErngNX6EpykM4Tc/edit
- From Scott S: Steps to Complete JESP for Jakarta EE 8

https://docs.google.com/document/d/12DsBDdDVO-jnOrrZYnOjx0tuAzZcoTumO6GvyS5c_DY/edit#heading=h.46tuhwbnext3

- Scott will have an update for Spec Committee tomorrow.

Suggested discussion topics:

- Are there high-level comments or consensus on the approach described by Ed?
- Are there major issues that should be captured for Steering Committee resolution?
- How does the Steering Committee propose to organize the management and/or oversight of the Jakarta EE 8 project? For example:
 - Direct management of this project activity at Steering Committee? I doubt this makes sense.
 - Delegation of project management to a designated team? Who is that team? What shall the Steering Committee charge the team with? Deliverables, dates, updates requested?
 - Steering committee to ask PMC to provide a date for delivery of Jakarta EE 8. Wayne will raise with PMC.
- Discussion: Wayne raised the issue of Acronyms. Unsure which must be changed. How extensive -- including URLs? Bill: Yes. Kevin raised concern that the names are ubiquitous and would be difficult to change completely, even in existing product materials. Discussion about how these are used and returned in various contexts. John: Where is the "official" list of acronyms that Oracle claims. Bill suggests that all should just be changed and is necessary to distinguish between Java related technologies and Jakarta related technologies. Paul W. suggests that this steering committee must provide guidance. Guidance must be discussed with Mike, then a guidance writeup should be created, then made available to the community.
 - Action: Paul W. to discuss with Mike, then make a recommendation back to the Steering Committee.

Discussion about release dates and project management. The consensus seems that PMC should pick up the bulk of project management activity. This committee will ask the PMC for a proposed release date of Jakarta EE 8. Paul W. suggests that having Jakarta EE 8 finished by Sep. 10 so they can move JakartaOne LiveStream to focus on what is beyond Jakarta EE 8, at that conference.

Managing the Jakarta EE vNext Discussion

Per David's document: "Community discussion on how to transition to the jakarta namespace will conclude Sunday, June 9th, 2019."

What will be the process for managing the conclusion of this discussion by June 9? (See above)

How does the Steering Committee propose to organize the management and/or oversight of this process? Will request the PMC pick this up (see above)

Discussion of Developer Survey Results

See Mike Milinkovich's blog:

<https://blogs.eclipse.org/post/mike-milinkovich/cloud-native-imperative-%E2%80%94-results-2019-jakarta-ee-developer-survey>

...and the detailed survey results:

<https://jakarta.ee/documents/insights/2019-jakarta-ee-developer-survey.pdf>

Survey results are generally positive. Microprofile points are well received.

Other Agreements Between Working Group Members and the Eclipse Foundation

Paul and David will clarify remaining questions related to Tomitribe and the Tomitribe Participation Agreement and bring this to Steering Committee on May 21 (next week).

Marketing Committee Update

Expect to have updates on branding documents on May 21 - tied to Tomitribe discussion.

Waiting on guidance from Eclipse IP Advisory Committee on branding guidelines.

Any update on the content of the logos, differentiating between a Jakarta EE WG members, and a Jakarta EE committer (which would require a third logo).

Mike DeNicola update: committee intends to continue to meet bi-monthly through the end of the year. Held a vote for Jakarta conference name in Sept. Following was approved: "JakartaOne LiveStream."

Reviewed Marketing Goals -- Strong coverage on technical articles. More publicity than originally established as a goal. Improve SEO -- looked for a resource to aid and strengthen. Specific metrics were discussed at the last meeting. Two new organizations have joined the Jakarta initiative: K-TEQ (Italy) and Tradista (tradista.finance, France). Members of this committee may ask Paul W. if you wish to review the detail presentation prepared by Thabang Mashologu.

Discussed the budget at Marketing committee

Tomitribe related logo is still being addressed -- Marketing/Brand committee will make recommendations to steering committee.

Jakarta EE committee elections

We expect a call for nominations in early May.

We should discuss the process for including a PMC member.
Paul W. will take action on this. His target is the end of June.

Jakarta “Summit”

Consensus has been to work on defining an agenda when there is more clarity on the resolution of legal issues.

Tomitribe does not intend to join

Payara has other commitments.

Oracle continues to be interested. IBM is supportive (Kevin continues to be supportive).

Paul will inquire about the possibility of keeping this on the calendar. Suggests 20-30 attendees is minimum for viability. Still working on Ottawa as the location. (We could tour Eclipse new facilities.)